United Nations A/AC.109/2006/SR.1

General Assembly

Distr.: General
17 March 2006

Original: English

Special Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples

Summary record of the 1st meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Thursday, 23 February 2006, at 10.30 a.m.

Temporary Chairman: Ms. Fréchette (Deputy Secretary-General)
Chairman: Mr. Hunte ..... (Saint Lucia)

Contents

Opening of the session by the Deputy Secretary-General

Adoption of the agenda

Election of officers

Organization of work

Other matters

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be submitted in one of the working languages. They should be set forth in a memorandum and also incorporated in a copy of the record. They should be sent *within one week of the date of this document* to the Chief, Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza.

Any corrections to the record of this meeting and of other meetings will be issued in a corrigendum.

06-25121 (E)

The meeting was called to order at 10.45 a.m.

Opening of the session by the Deputy Secretary-General

1. **The Temporary Chairman** said that, although the Organization could be proud that more than 80 million people around the world had exercised their right of self-determination under its auspices, its decolonization work remained unfinished, with 16 Non-Self-Governing Territories still to decide their future. The Special Committee had an important role to play in promoting the ideals of self-determination enshrined in the 1960 Declaration on decolonization.

2. The Committee's commitment to those ideals had recently helped to give the people of Tokelau an opportunity to express their views on the Territory's status through a referendum. The Secretary-General had commended the Special Committee, the voters and the Government of New Zealand (the administering Power) for approaching that significant event in a constructive spirit. She hoped that Tokelau would provide an example for progress in other cases, and urged all administering Powers to work with the Special Committee to ensure that the views of the peoples of the remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories were finally heard.

3. She was pleased that the Special Committee continued to be active in informing the inhabitants of Non-Self-Governing Territories about their options for self-determination, through, among other means, its recent mission to Bermuda and its planned visit to the Turks and Caicos Islands. Recalling that Timor-Leste had taken its place as a full-fledged member of the international community as recently as 2002, and that the Special Committee had been instrumental in voicing the aspirations of the Timorese people, she warmly welcomed the offer of the Government of Timor-Leste to host the upcoming Pacific Regional Seminar, and thus serve as a forum for discussion of self-determination.

Adoption of the agenda

4. *The agenda was adopted.*

Election of officers

5. *Mr. Hunte (Saint Lucia) was elected Chairman, Mr. Malmierca Diaz (Cuba) was elected Vice-Chairman, and Mr. Atieh (Syrian Arab Republic) was elected Rapporteur, by acclamation.*

6. *Mr. Hunte (Saint Lucia) took the Chair.*

7. **The Chairman** said that the delegations of Angola, the Holy See, Iceland, Namibia, Peru and Thailand had indicated their wish to participate as observers in the opening meeting of the Special Committee. The delegations of Algeria, Argentina, Morocco and Spain had also been invited to participate. As the African Group had not yet proposed its candidate for the post of Vice-Chairman, elections for that post would be held at the next meeting of the Committee.

8. The Special Committee congratulated the people of Tokelau on taking part in the first ever referendum on their political future. Though the 66-per-cent threshold required for a change in status had not been reached, the Committee had noted the possibility of a further such consultation as part of the self-determination process which continued with the assistance of the New Zealand Government. A separate meeting would be devoted specifically to the question of Tokelau, including a report by the Electoral Affairs Division, which had observed the referendum.

9. The work of the Special Committee was still topical. Decolonization remained among the international responsibilities set forth in Articles 1, 55 and 73 of the Charter of the United Nations, subsequent resolutions of the General Assembly and most recently the 2005 World Summit Outcome. The various human rights instruments dealing with civil and political rights and economic and cultural rights emphasized that self-determination was an integral component of democratic governance and human rights.

10. Many people from the Non-Self-Governing Territories, and participants at every regional seminar dating back to the 1985 seminar in Havana, had insisted that "contemporary colonialism" should not be allowed to exist. The challenges in addressing the situation in the remaining Territories were formidable, but they could be overcome if the specific tasks set by the General Assembly were fulfilled. Accordingly, where there was an information deficit in the Territories, programmes must be put in place to heighten awareness of the options available to their people.

11. Equal rights, justice and the ability of peoples to determine their own future and to govern themselves were goals more easily achieved in the globalized world of the twenty-first century than during an earlier period when those issues had been given a more ideological slant. Though decolonization remained a political process, requiring the political will of all stakeholders, it must not be forgotten that it was also a development process. As Chairman, he would intensify the informal dialogue with the administering Powers in order to speed up the development of long-awaited work programmes for each Territory.

12. In the current era of United Nations reform, the Special Committee had made changes, eliminating its subcommittees, de-politicizing the language of its resolutions and accepting a significant reduction in the level of expert services available to it. It would continue that process by reducing the volume of documentation and seeking innovative ways of backing up its recommendations with the requisite information and analysis. To ensure that the flow of information was maintained, it would make maximum use of the website on decolonization issues.

13. In addressing decolonization in the twenty-first century, the price of maintaining the same approach year after year was far greater than the price of modernizing that approach. In the coming year and beyond, the Special Committee, the Secretary-General, the Department of Political Affairs and the administering Powers must work together to achieve complete decolonization of the Non-Self-Governing Territories by the end of the Second International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialism (2001-2010).

Organization of work (A/AC.109/2006/L.1 and A/AC.109/2006/L.2)

14. **The Chairman** drew attention to a note by the Secretary-General contained in document A/AC.109/2006/L.1, indicating to the Committee the resolutions and decisions relevant to the work of the Special Committee for the current year. The Committee also had before it for consideration document A/AC.109/2006/L.2, which contained a note by the Chairman concerning the organization of the work of the Committee, its programme of work and its timetable. Every effort would be made to complete the Committee's work, as scheduled, by 23 June 2006, so that its report could be made available to the General Assembly at its sixty-first session. He took it that the Committee wished to approve its proposed organization of work for the current year.

15. *It was so decided*

Other matters

16. **Mr. Aisi** (Papua New Guinea) said that, at the joint invitation of the New Zealand and Tokelau Governments, he had represented the Special Committee during Tokelau's referendum on self-government in free association with New Zealand. Preparations for the referendum had begun some time previously, with the approval by the General Fono (the national representative body) in August 2005 of the text of a draft treaty of free association between Tokelau and New Zealand, as a basis for self-determination. The General Fono had also appointed a translation committee and referendum commission. Subsequently, in November 2005, following extensive consultation, the General Fono had approved the translation of a draft constitution and the draft treaty. It had also approved draft referendum rules, including a closing date of 23 December 2005 for voter registration.

17. Significantly, the General Fono had agreed that an overall majority of two thirds, or 66 per cent, of the valid votes cast would be required for a change in Tokelau's status. The referendum to determine Tokelau's future status had taken place over the period from 11 to 15 February 2006, with voting in Apia on 11 February (for eligible Tokelauans residing in Samoa), and in Atafu, Nukunonu and Fakaofo (Tokelau) on 13, 14 and 15 February, respectively. A total of 584 individuals had taken part, 3 ballots had been rejected, 349 had voted in favour of free association with New Zealand and 232 had voted against. The voter turnout had stood at a very high and impressive 95 per cent, but still the required two-thirds majority had not been achieved.

18. General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) of 14 December 1960 stipulated that decisions regarding the self-determination of the peoples of Non-Self-Governing Territories must be the result of their freely expressed will and desire. All Tokelauans had accepted the outcome of the referendum, which was not in dispute. However, the vote was seen as one step in the process of self-determination, and the Tokelau Council for Ongoing Government had requested the New

Zealand Government to leave the referendum package open for future consideration. The referendum was therefore not a wasted opportunity: thanks to the efforts of many individuals, the people of Tokelau had emerged from the exercise well aware of the issues involved in self-determination and could build on their experience.

19. **Ms. Banks** (New Zealand) said that New Zealand, as the administering Power, and the United Nations had worked closely together for many years to support Tokelau's move towards greater self-reliance. The Special Committee had sent five fact-finding and two special missions to Tokelau since 1976, and Tokelau and New Zealand had been careful to keep the Committee well informed of developments in the Territory. The partnership had worked well for the United Nations, Tokelau and New Zealand.

20. New Zealand and Tokelau had been pleased and grateful that the Special Committee had agreed to send a high-level delegation to observe the referendum held from 11 to 15 February. Although the requisite two-thirds majority had not been reached, the very high level of participation, the 60 per cent support for self-government in free association with New Zealand and the successful conduct of the referendum by Tokelau — with support from New Zealand's Electoral Office — were positive.

21. The objective of self-government had long had the unanimous support of Tokelau's political leadership — at both village and national level. Tokelau itself had initiated the referendum process in 2003, and had driven it ever since. Every decision on that subject had been approved by unanimous vote of Tokelau's General Fono and had been supported by all three village councils. The referendum had followed some three decades of debate, discussion and experimentation with different governance and public service structures and systems. It has been underpinned by an intensive programme of dialogue and consultation in all three villages since the 2003 decision to formally explore the option of self-government in free association with New Zealand.

22. Immediately after the referendum, Tokelau's Council for Ongoing Government had decided to conduct a series of meetings with the three village councils and the General Fono to consider the result and to decide on Tokelau's future course of action. They would be exploring the reasons for a voting pattern that had turned out to be at odds with the views of the political leadership. They had asked that the New Zealand Government keep the current package of the draft constitution and draft treaty on the table in the meantime, intending to re-engage in discussions with New Zealand and the United Nations after a period of reflection and discussion.

23. New Zealand had noted that the Special Committee's emissary favoured such an approach and suggested that, while there would be no change of status for Tokelau in the immediate future, it should not be ruled out for all time. In other words, the referendum should be seen as a step along the path rather than a destination. Rather than voting to remain a dependent territory of New Zealand, Tokelau had simply opted to make no change to the status quo. The New Zealand Government would take full account of the Special Committee's reactions in making its own formal response to the referendum result and to the requests of the Council for Ongoing Government.

24. **Mr. Malmierca Diaz** (Cuba) said that the Tokelau referendum result had been an important step in the exercise of self-determination by the Tokelauan people. It was highly significant that 60 per cent of the population had voted in favour of self-government in free association with New Zealand. His delegation believed that it was not the end of the road and that the current package should indeed be kept on the table. The New Zealand Government's attitude of cooperation with the Special Committee demonstrated what could be achieved if the attitude of a Territory's administering Power was constructive.

25. **Mr. Mekdad** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that the Special Committee had of course hoped for a different outcome in Tokelau. However, the result demonstrated the will of the Tokelau people and the Committee must respect it. It was gratifying to see a Territory exercising its right to self-determination. In that regard, he commended the Government of New Zealand for its efforts. He too believed that it was not the end of the road and that the current package, which had the support of 60 per cent of the population, should be kept on the table. He was sure that, if further discussions were held between New Zealand, Tokelau and the Special Committee, it would not be long before the people of Tokelau had another opportunity to vote on their future status.

26. **Mr. Guterres** (Timor-Leste) congratulated the people of Tokelau and the Government of New Zealand on the high turnout in the recent referendum. He urged the latter to continue working with the people of Tokelau and in that regard endorsed the comment by the representative of New Zealand that the exercise should be seen not as the end of the process, but as part of the process.

27. **The Chairman** said that the Committee would strictly apply the decision of the General Assembly concerning the waiver of a quorum, as it had in previous years. In accordance with that decision, the Committee's meetings would be convened without the presence of the quorum required under rules 67 and 108 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly. However, the presence of a majority of the members would be required for any decision to be taken.

28. He thanked the Government of Timor-Leste for offering to host the 2006 Pacific Regional Seminar. The offer was very symbolic for the Special Committee, as Timor-Leste was the most recent Non-Self-Governing Territory formerly under the review of the Committee to have achieved a full measure of self-government through independence to join the Committee. He took it that the Committee wished to accept the offer.

29. *It was so decided.*

30. **The Chairman** suggested that the Committee should authorize him to hold consultations, as appropriate, on the preparations for the Seminar. In accordance with established practice, he would hold consultations with the Bureau who, in turn, would consult with Committee members from their respective regional groups. The regional groups should nominate their representatives to participate in the Seminar, taking into account the established principles of the United Nations, including the principle of rotation. He would also hold consultations with a Committee member whose regional group was not represented in the Bureau and with the Bureau with the aim of recommending a list of non-governmental organizations and experts to be invited to the Seminar. He took it that the Committee wished him to proceed along those lines.

31. *It was so decided.*

32. **The Chairman** drew attention to the recent exchange of letters between himself, the Chief Minister of the Turks and Caicos Islands and the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom, the administering Power of that Territory, on sending a visiting mission to the islands, copies of which had been circulated to all members.

33. He reported that in July 2005, the Chief Minister had formally invited the Special Committee to visit the islands in order to disseminate information on the self-determination and decolonization processes. Consistent with standard practice, he had advised the Chief Minister that his request required the concurrence of the United Kingdom. The United Kingdom had subsequently confirmed that it had no objection to the Committee's accepting the invitation. An independent expert had held preliminary consultations with the Chief Minister in early 2006 and the period of 2 to 9 April 2006 had been identified. It was envisaged that the visit would include meetings in the islands' two main population centres and that consultations would be held with residents in one or more of the smaller islands, in recognition of the Territory's multi-island nature. The special mission would consist of the Chairman, three additional Committee members and two staff members from the Secretariat. Consistent with the successful special mission to Bermuda in 2005, he intended to include an independent expert to provide the necessary substantive expertise. The members of the special mission would be appointed in due course, pending completion of the consultation process. He took it that the Committee agreed to dispatch the said special mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands.

34. *It was so decided.*

35. **The Chairman** said that he had recently circulated copies of his updated programme of implementation for 2006-2007, following extensive consultations with Member States and senior officials of the Secretariat. The document was intended to organize the actions taken by the General Assembly and the Economic and Social Council and identified the relevant United Nations bodies to carry out their respective portions of the decolonization mandate, which had always been meant to be a system-wide undertaking. To that end, he had held consultations with various United Nations offices, beginning with the Decolonization Unit, and was also scheduling meetings with various United Nations agencies. Much of the substantive research called for during the International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialism and by other

pertinent resolutions had yet to be undertaken. In his view, such substantive analysis required specialized expertise not presently available to the Special Committee. He would therefore be seeking alternative measures to ensure that Committee members had the benefit of proper analysis of the contemporary decolonization dynamic.

36. In that regard, a special briefing would be conducted for interested delegations on developments in the small Territories, which constituted most of the Territories on the list, with a presentation by an eminent independent expert. The session would be designed to inform and update Member States on the situation on the ground well in advance of the Committee's session in June. In his view, such innovations were necessary to propel the United Nations system to implementation of the decolonization mandate, bearing in mind that the conclusion of the Second International Decade was fast approaching.

37. **Mr. Okio** (Congo) said that his delegation fully supported the Chairman's programme of implementation for 2006-2007, and was grateful to the Government of Timor-Leste for offering to host the 2006 Pacific Regional Seminar, which would enable the Special Committee to see what had become of Timor-Leste since independence.

38. **Mr. Guterres** (Timor-Leste) thanked the Committee for giving his country an opportunity to host the 2006 Pacific Regional Seminar. His Government would work with the Committee and the Secretariat to ensure that the Seminar was a success.

39. **Mr. Maleki** (Islamic Republic of Iran), referring to the programme of implementation for 2006-2007, wondered whether it might not be a good idea for the Committee to identify one or two Non-Self-Governing Territories that were close to self-determination, rather than asking the relevant organs to implement the Declaration on decolonization in all 16 remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories.

40. **The Chairman** said that it was not always easy to predict which Territories were close to self-determination. Although it was always the people who decided, consultations on the stage of preparedness of each Territory were nonetheless a necessary process. The Special Committee would conduct such an exercise during the current year and would then have a better idea.

41. **Mr. Maleki** (Islamic Republic of Iran) said that it was not difficult to assess, from a social, economic or political point of view, which Territories were close to self-determination. In his view, the Special Committee should ask the relevant organs to work on those criteria, and the Committee could then decide which Territories were closest to self-determination. If the Declaration was implemented equally for all Territories, it could take decades.

42. **The Chairman** said that, even if one Territory was deemed to be closer to self-government than another, the final decision on self-determination rested not with the Special Committee, but with the people. He nonetheless took the point made by the representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran and promised to discuss the matter further.

43. **Mr. Gregoire** (Dominica) commended the Government of New Zealand and the Secretariat for the arrangements they had made for the Tokelau referendum; congratulated the people of Tokelau for their determination to proceed to self-government; thanked the Government of Timor-Leste for agreeing to host the 2006 Pacific Regional Seminar; and, lastly, pledged his delegation's full support for the programme of implementation for 2006-2007.

The meeting rose at 12.05 p.m.

United Nations A/AC.109/2006/SR.2

General Assembly

Distr.: General
11 April 2006

Original: English

Special Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples

Summary record of the 2nd meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Wednesday, 29 March 2006, at 3 p.m.

Chairman: Mr. Hunte ..... (Saint Lucia)

Contents

Adoption of the agenda

Election of Vice-Chairman (*continued*)

Special mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands

Pacific Regional Seminar

Other matters

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be submitted in one of the working languages. They should be set forth in a memorandum and also incorporated in a copy of the record. They should be sent *within one week of the date of this document* to the Chief, Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza.

Any corrections to the record of this meeting and of other meetings will be issued in a corrigendum.

06-28858 (E)

The meeting was called to order at 3.15 p.m.

Adoption of the agenda

1. *The agenda was adopted.*

Election of Vice-Chairman *(continued)*

2. **The Chairman** recalled that, at its previous meeting, the Committee had postponed election of the Vice-Chairman from the Group of African States, as consultations were still under way. Those consultations had now been completed and the African Group had nominated Mr. Luc Joseph Okio of the Congo as its candidate.

3. *Mr. Okio (Congo) was elected Vice-Chairman by acclamation.*

Special mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands

4. **The Chairman** recalled that, at its previous meeting, the Committee had decided to dispatch a special mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands from 2 to 9 April 2006. As the Committee was aware, the Organization had adopted a six-month budget, which had affected programmes and activities across the board, including those of the Committee. Accordingly, much of the Chairman's work over the past month had been to ensure that the decolonization agenda was not unduly affected by the temporary budgetary shortfall. There had been strategic deliberations on the matter between the Office of the Chairman, the General Assembly Affairs Branch and the Executive Office. He had circulated the substantive correspondence on the matter.

5. It was important to carry out the Committee's activities, even under the current extraordinary budgetary circumstances and despite the bureaucratic hesitancy in moving forward. In recent years, the Committee had faced the challenge of remaining relevant in the eyes of many people in the Territories, given the propensity for unimplemented studies and analyses requested by the General Assembly. Instead of abandoning those activities, the Bureau had therefore been working diligently to reassess the costs, composition and duration of the Special Mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands and reassess the arrangements for the 2006 Pacific Regional Seminar, to be held in Dili, Timor-Leste, from 23 to 25 May.

6. Accordingly, he had held informal consultations with Bureau members and, through them, with the regional groups regarding the composition of the Special Mission. He had also held consultations with the Office of the Chief Minister of the Turks and Caicos Islands regarding the logistics of the mission. After reviewing the tentative programme of work and available resources, it had been concluded that the mission would consist of three Committee members. It had also been recommended that the mission should be two days shorter and take place from 2 to 7 April in order to allow time to visit the islands of Providenciales and Grand Turk, the capital. The Special Mission would examine political, economic and social developments in the Territory and provide information about the role of the United Nations and the Committee's mandate to the Government and people of the Territory. The special mission was expected to submit a report to the Committee for its consideration.

7. The programme of work envisaged meetings with the Chief Minister, the Governor, Cabinet members, the leader of the opposition and opposition members of the Legislative Council, the Speaker of the Legislative Council, the Grand Turk Chamber of Commerce and the Chairman of the Public Service Commission. Town hall meetings were also scheduled to take place in Grand Turk, Providenciales and elsewhere.

8. As indicated earlier, the administering Power of the Turks and Caicos Islands, the United Kingdom, concurred with the dispatch of the mission. On behalf of the Committee and on his own behalf, he once again expressed his appreciation for the opportunity to visit the Territory. He also thanked the Executive Office for its flexibility and willingness to assist the Committee in carrying out its work under the current circumstances.

9. The official delegation of the Special Mission would include representatives of Saint Lucia, Fiji and Tunisia and would be accompanied by a representative of the Jamaica Country Office of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), which serviced the Turks and Caicos Islands. UNDP was also supporting the participation of an independent expert, Dr. Carlyle Corbin, who would provide substantive expertise vital to the successful completion of the mission. Similar support had been provided for the Special Mission to Bermuda in 2005. The Special Mission would also be

joined by Ms. Denise Cook, Senior Political Affairs Officer of the Decolonization Unit.

10. **Mr. Okio** (Congo) thanked the Chairman and the Secretariat for all their efforts to make possible the Special Mission, which would be extremely useful in terms of both sharing information with the people of the Turks and Caicos Islands and reinforcing the Committee's work. He also thanked the other members of the Committee, in particular the African Group, for re-electing him Vice-Chairman.

11. **Mr. Aisi** (Papua New Guinea) congratulated the representative of the Congo on his re-election to the Bureau. Regarding the Special Mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands, he thanked the Chairman for including a representative of Fiji in the official delegation. Papua New Guinea and Fiji remained committed to the work of the Committee. Noting the current financial constraints, he said that his delegation would have preferred a larger team to carry out the work of the Special Mission. However, he was sure that the team chosen, though small, would be equal to the task. He was also very pleased by the UNDP offer to send a representative and contribute funding, which provided a classic example of how agencies like UNDP could assist the Committee in its work. Lastly, he acknowledged the role of the Governments of the United Kingdom and of the Turks and Caicos Islands in making the mission possible. He looked forward to its successful outcome.

12. **The Chairman** said that he took it that the Committee wished to approve the composition and dates of the Special Mission.

13. *It was so decided.*

14. **The Chairman** said that he took it that the Committee wished to approve the tentative programme of the Special Mission, which had been prepared in consultation with the territorial Government and had been distributed to Committee members.

15. *It was so decided.*

Pacific Regional Seminar (A/AC.109/2006/1)

16. **The Chairman** recalled that, at its previous meeting, the Committee had accepted the invitation extended by the Government of Timor-Leste to host its 2006 Pacific Regional Seminar. On behalf of the Committee and on his own behalf, he again thanked that Government for its offer. As he had stated at the Bureau meeting, the Committee needed to know early each year which country wished to host that year's regional seminar so that, if it later became necessary to find an alternative location, there would still be time to do so.

17. The Committee would hold its Seminar from 23 to 25 May 2006. As in the past, the Committee would also celebrate the Week of Solidarity with the Peoples of Non-Self-Governing Territories. The Secretariat was currently working with the Permanent Mission of Timor-Leste on the logistics of the Seminar. It was expected to come up with a cost-free contract so that there would be no additional cost for the Committee. If there were going to be additional costs, the Committee needed to know as early as possible. He had been in close communication with the Executive Office in order to ensure that the quality of the Seminar was not compromised in view of the reduced available resources.

18. At its previous meeting, the Committee had authorized him to hold consultations, as appropriate, concerning the composition of the Committee's official delegation to the Seminar in view of the current budgetary situation. Accordingly, he had made several budgetary proposals to reduce expenses for the Seminar, which had been submitted to the Executive Office for cost analysis. In that connection, the host Government had agreed to provide all the necessary logistics, equipment and transportation free of charge, thus greatly facilitating the task. Those arrangements would be finalized in the Host Country Agreement currently being reviewed by the host Government.

19. Following consultations with the regional groups, the Bureau, at his recommendation, had agreed ad referendum that the Committee would be represented at the Seminar by Saint Lucia, the Congo, the Syrian Arab Republic, Cuba and the Russian Federation. The United Nations would bear the cost of travel for those members of the delegation. He took it that the Committee wished to approve the aforementioned composition of its official delegation and authorize the Secretariat to make the necessary travel arrangements for them.

20. *It was so decided.*

21. **The Chairman** said that the Bureau, at its recent meeting, had approved ad referendum a suggested list of experts and organizations to be invited to the Seminar and he would need the Committee's

authorization to finalize it. Owing to budgetary constraints, it would be possible to fund only two experts and two non-governmental organizations (NGOs) from the Pacific region — Professor Tony Angelo and Falani Aukuso, who were both experts on Tokelau, and Colonized Chamorro Coalition and Comite Rheebu Nu, NGOs from Guam and New Caledonia, respectively.

22. He took it that the Committee wished to approve the participation of the experts and organizations that he had referred to, and to request the Secretariat to proceed with the necessary travel arrangements for those experts and organizations once he had finalized the list.

23. *It was so decided.*

24. **The Chairman** said that, in accordance with the decision of the Committee, invitations would also be extended to other Member States, administering Powers, specialized agencies within the United Nations, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations and additional experts, as well as to some regional organizations, but that they would not be funded.

25. Other adjustments had been necessary in order to stay within the reduced budget. Unfortunately, the usual practice of providing funding to all elected and appointed officials of the Non-Self-Governing Territories had had to be suspended. Accordingly, it was proposed that funding should be extended to representatives of American Samoa, Guam, New Caledonia, Tokelau, Turks and Caicos Islands, United States Virgin Islands (representing the Territorial Government and the Caribbean Working Group of Associate Member Countries), Gibraltar and Western Sahara (Frente Popular para la Liberacion de Saguia el-Hamra y de Rio de Oro) (Frente POLISARIO). The remaining Territories would be invited in an unfunded capacity. The number of Secretariat members who would be attending had also been reduced.

26. He drew the Committee's attention to the text of the guidelines and rules of procedure of the Seminar contained in document A/AC.109/2006/1, in particular, the agenda of the Seminar, to which he and the Bureau had devoted considerable attention.

27. **Mr. Aisi** (Papua New Guinea) said that the Chairman had organized a realistic and accommodating programme under tight budgetary constraints. He welcomed the inclusion of Non-Self-Governing Territory experts and NGOs from the Pacific region. His Government was exploring the possibility of sending a representative to the Seminar at its own expense, as it had done with the Caribbean Regional Seminar the previous year to show its support.

28. **Mr. Díaz** (Cuba) said that the Pacific Regional Seminar was one of the Committee's most important undertakings and no effort should be spared to guarantee its quality and success. Cancelling it was out of the question; rather, cost-saving and any other necessary measures must be considered to offset the severe budgetary constraints.

29. He acknowledged the generous offer of Timor-Leste — a country that itself had been a Non-Self-Governing Territory not long ago — to host the Seminar.

30. **Ms. Borges** (Timor-Leste), speaking on behalf of Ambassador Guterres, thanked Committee members for their words of appreciation. The ambassador was currently in Dili overseeing final arrangements for the Seminar. She wished to reassure the representative of Cuba and other members of the Special Committee that her Government would more than likely be able to bear the costs for which it was responsible.

31. **The Chairman** said that he had made the Secretariat aware of the need to inform the Committee as early as possible of any problems that the reduced budget might create so that measures could be taken to ensure that the Seminar would be held.

32. If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Special Committee wished to adopt the guidelines and rules of procedure for the Seminar (A/AC.109/2006/1), including the agenda of the Seminar contained therein.

33. *It was so decided.*

Other matters

34. **The Chairman** said that, despite the temporary budgetary circumstances, the Special Committee must endeavour to carry out its important work. Extraordinary circumstances often required extraordinary measures. In an expression of his commitment to the success of the Committee's work, he had therefore advised the Executive Office that he did not wish to receive daily subsistence allowance for either the Special Mission to the Turks and Caicos Islands or the Pacific Regional Seminar.

35. **Mr. Maleki** (Islamic Republic of Iran) said that the substantial work of the Special Committee in dealing with 16 Non-Self-Governing Territories must be adequately funded. The Special Committee's financial requirements were still well under those of other bodies. If necessary, the Bureau should approach the Secretary-General on the matter.

36. **The Chairman** said that, after studying the figure, the Bureau and the Special Committee would take all necessary measures. In the meantime, out of adversity had come an opportunity to take a fresh look at certain aspects of the special missions and regional seminars and their funding, with a view to their restructuring, and to adapting them to current circumstances.

37. **Mr. Okio** (Congo) supported the remarks by the representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran. He thanked the Chairman for forgoing his daily subsistence allowance for the Special Mission and the Seminar and stressed the importance of the Special Committee's continued existence. Budgetary problems were not peculiar to the Special Committee, but rather were common to the entire Organization; sacrifices and cuts should therefore be apportioned equally among the various United Nations bodies.

The meeting rose at 4 p.m.